Owner / Applicant Information

Kyle Neukam
Scott County School District 2
375 EAST MCCLAIN AVENUE

SCOTTSBURG IN 47170

Phone 8127528921

Email KNEUKAM@SCSD2.K12.IN.US

Submitter Information

Christa West
Houston, Thompson & Lewis, PC
59 E. Wardell Street

Scottsburg IN

Phone 8127525920

Email cwest@htllawyers.com

Project Information

School Safety-Pinch Guards
445 Ivan Rogers Rd.

Scottsburg IN 47170

County SCOTT

Project Type New [ ] Addition [ ] Alteration [ ] Existing [Y] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [U] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [No] Has Violation been Issued? [No]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: 8127528445 Email: ben.petty@scottcounty.in.gov

Local Fire Official

Phone: 8127528445 Email: jrichey@scottsburgfire.com

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 Edition IFC Sec 703.2

Conditions: In 2018 and 2022, the Commission approved variances for Scott County School District 2 to use pinch guards on fire rated doors in non-sprinklered areas of District school buildings with the condition that the pinch guards be placed no more than 48 inches from the floor. Scott County School District 2 uses pinch guards as part of its school safety protocols to lock down buildings quickly during security threats. Pinch guards are placed no more than 48 inches from the floor in compliance with the variance condition. While not a condition of the variance, the District¿s disaster management plan requires the removal of pinch guards and the unlocking of doors when evacuating buildings in the event of fire. The current variance expires June 30, 2027.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[2]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: See attached uploaded documents.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: See attached uploaded documents.