Owner / Applicant Information

AVINASH PATEL
AVINASH PATEL
7213 SPAYSIDE DR N

NOBLESVILLE IN 46062

Phone 3179020534

Email AVRPATE@GMAIL.COM

Submitter Information

JOANIE BELCHER
ARCVISION
1950 CRAIG RD STE 300

ST LOUIS IN

Phone 3368301111

Email JGODSEY@ARCV.COM

Designer Information

Frederick J. Goglia
ARCVISION
1950 CRAIG RD STE 300

ST LOUIS MO

Phone 3368301111

Email JGODSEY@ARCV.COM

Project Information

WAXING THE CITY
9787 E 116TH ST

FISHERS MO 46037

County HAMILTON

Project Type New [ ] Addition [ ] Alteration [Y] Existing [ ] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [F] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [ ] Has Violation been Issued? [ ]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official
Phone: 3175953400 Email: suchyt@fishers.in.us

Local Fire Official
Phone: 3175953400 Email: suchyt@fishers.in.us

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2902.2 2012 IBC

Conditions: Tenant space is for a waxing service, a B occupancy of 1,610sf. Occupancy load is 18 with employees and customers. code states that occupancy load of 15 or fewer employee and customers are granted an exception of 2 restrooms required.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Newer versions of the IBC 2902.2 Exception 4 permits a Group B occupancy with a maximum occupant load of 25 or fewer to provide toilet facilities without requiring separate facilities for each sex. The proposed occupant load is 18; therefore, separate men's and women's toilet rooms are not required by 2902.2

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The proposed design will provide space efficiency without sacrificing personal privacy. Additional advantage is more efficient management and cleaning based upon individual-user private compartments in lieu of a facility where all fixtures are located within a common room.