Owner / Applicant Information

Mike Miller
CE Systems
1045 S GLADSTONE AVE

COLUMUS IN 47201

Phone 8123433099

Email MTMILLER@CUNN.COM

Submitter Information

Kyle Ladner
EightTwenty
1825 N Walnut Ave

Oklahoma City IN

Phone 4052375779

Email kyle.ladner@eighttwenty.com

Designer Information

Joseph W. Sims
Sim-Durkin Associates Engineering Company
5755 W 74th St

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3172094035

Email jwsims@sims-durkin.com

Project Information

CE Systems - Solar Installation
1045 S. Gladstone Ave

Columbus OK 47201

County BARTHOLOMEW

Project Type New [ ] Addition [ ] Alteration [Y] Existing [ ] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [F] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [No] Has Violation been Issued? [No]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: 8123791535 Email: mcox@bartholomew.in.gov

Local Fire Official

Phone: 8123791535 Email: ttodd@columbus.in.gov

Variance Details

Code Name: 2009 Indiana Electrical Code (675 IAC 17-1.8)

110.13

Conditions: Any ballasted system on the roof of a Class 1 structure would not meet that code section.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This system has been designed and stamped by a structural engineer. The system has been designed to industry standards.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The alternative to a ballasted system would be significantly more anchors requiring an undue number of rooftop penetrations into TPO resulting in excessive costs.