Owner / Applicant Information

Steve R Rice
Rice Real Estate LLC
14450 GETZ RD.

NOBLEVILLE IN 46060

Phone 3175023618

Email WRICE@RITRON.COM

Submitter Information

Jackie Lynn
Mooring USA
7802 SW 820

Benbrook IN

Phone 8176885616

Email jlynn@mooringusa.com

Designer Information

Mike Stenstrom
Stemstrom Schneider Inc
13748 Neutron Rd

Dallas TX

Phone 2144619831

Email mstenstrom@ssidallas.com

Project Information

Caliber Collision Carmel Fire Restoration
503 W CarmelDr

Carmel TX 46033

County HAMILTON

Project Type New [ ] Addition [ ] Alteration [ ] Existing [Y] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [F] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [yes] Has Violation been Issued? [No]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: 3175712470 Email: whohlt@carmel.in.gov

Local Fire Official

Phone: 3175712470 Email: wmueller@carmel.in.gov

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

675 IAC 12-6-3(a) 12-6-7(g) 13

Conditions: We are requesting a variant for the fire suppression system condition per the construction design release. We are restoring the building the back to its preexisting condition prior to the loss. Previously, there wasn't a suppression system for the existing building. We are not adding any new square footage, nor changing the floor plan in any way. The existing exterior wall and windows are still in place and were not damaged during this loss. However, there was a fire suppression for the paint booth prior to the loss. That will be submitted to the Indiana DHS office by the paint booth company. They have file and received their own CDR from the state.
We appreciate your consideration regarding this variant request

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

675 IAC 12-6-3(a) 12-6-7(g) 13

Conditions: We are requesting a variant for the fire suppression system condition per the construction design release. We are restoring the building the back to its preexisting condition prior to the loss. Previously, there wasn't a suppression system for the existing building. We are not adding any new square footage, nor changing the floor plan in any way. The existing exterior wall and windows are still in place and were not damaged during this loss. However, there was a fire suppression for the paint booth prior to the loss. That will be submitted to the Indiana DHS office by the paint booth company. They have file and received their own CDR from the state.
We appreciate your consideration regarding this variant request

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: