Owner / Applicant Information

Juan Vasquez
Juan Vasquez
1 MICROSOFT WAY

REDMOND WA 98052

Phone 3126170018

Email JUAN.VASQUEZ@MICROSOFT.COM

Submitter Information

Kristine Crippen
Olsson
3702 Central Avenue

Indianapolis IN

Phone 7193106945

Email kcrippen@olsson.com

Designer Information

Eric Taylor
Olsson
1301 Burlington Street

North Kansas City MO

Phone 9135227862

Email etaylor@olsson.com

Project Information

LPO CAB
360 Boyd Blvd

LAPORTE IN 46350

County LAPORTE

Project Type New [ ] Addition [ ] Alteration [ ] Existing [ ] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [F] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [No] Has Violation been Issued? [No]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official
Phone: 2193628260 Email: mpolan@laporteco.in.gov

Local Fire Official
Phone: 2193628260 Email: andy.snyder@laportefire.com

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IBC 1008.1.9.7

Conditions: An attempt to egress shall initiate an irreversible process that shall allow such egress in not more than 15 seconds when a physical effort to exit is applied to the egress side door hardware for not more than 3 seconds. Initiation of the irreversible process shall activate an audible signal in the vicinity of the door. Once the delay electronics have been deactivated, rearming the delay electronics shall be by manual means only. *We are proposing a 4-second delay not 15-seconds.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This facility is a private, access-controlled office building and is not open to the public. All occupants are authorized personnel who receive training regarding the building's security procedures, including the operation of the door hardware and the use of access control devices for entry and egress. In addition, the facility and all secured doors are monitored continuously, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, by trained security personnel who are prepared to respond to emergency situations

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: It is our assertion that the proposed solution as outlined above will assist in maintaining the high security level required for the operations of this facility while maintaining life safety and egress for the occupants. If a 04 second delay is not acceptable in this application, we would propose a zero-second delay option which provides the same functionality as described above by offering the 3 second nuisance alarm but there would be no delay in existing. The client would use all the other features of the delayed egress exit device except there would be no delay at all therefore meeting the building code. In addition, this solution would meet all the same criteria outlined in 1008.1.9.7 Delayed Egress Lock