Owner / Applicant Information

Calvin Schlabach
Shed Time LLC
6550 W 900 N

LIGONIER IN 46767

Phone 2609606350

Email LMAST4@GMAIL.COM

Submitter Information

Timothy Callas
J & T Consulting, LLC
8220 Rob Lane

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178894300

Email tcallas@jtconsult.us

Designer Information

Naeem Akhter P.E.
DELINEATORS PLUS
10 County Road 22

Craig CO

Phone 9702918457

Email ivan@delineatorsplus.com

Designer Information

Naeem Akhter P.E.
DELINEATORS PLUS
10 County Road 22

Craig CO

Phone 9702918457

Email ivan@delineatorsplus.com

Project Information

SHED TIME LLC - DEVELOPMENT
E 200 N

Pierceton IN 46562

County KOSCIUSKO

Project Type New [Y] Addition [ ] Alteration [ ] Existing [ ] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [U] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [No] Has Violation been Issued? [No]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: 3172322222 Email: JOSHPHILLIPS@DHS.IN.GOV

Phone: 3172322222 Email: JOSHPHILLIPS@DHS.IN.GOV

Local Fire Official

Phone: 3172322222 Email: piercetonfire@centurylink.net

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IBC - 1807.1

Conditions: New version of self-storage units are proposed that range in size from 200 sf to 400 sf that is proposed to not have conventional foundations (see plans and photo). These units are similar, but larger than the standard storage sheds found in back yards of singe family homes.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1.Each unit will be anchored with auger tie downs at four (40 locations on each unit. (see photos of current location different jurisdiction).
2.The site location is on virgin undisturbed soil with compacted stone.
3. The design is certified by a Design Professional

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owners undue harship is with the intent to provide a viable service, such a variance will provide the economically feasible ideal to move ahead with the project. Without the variance the project may be deemed financially not viable. Additionally, the owner's undue hardship involves the cost difference (stone $5,626) VS perimeter foundation ($28,800). Total cost of all units $244,800. See quotes enclosed.