Owner / Applicant Information

John King
Johnson Controls
7202 E 87TH ST

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46256

Phone 3172879805

Email JOHN.1.KING@JCI.COM

Submitter Information

John King
Johnson Controls
7202 E 87th St

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3172879805

Email john.1.king@jci.com

Project Information

Pitney Bowes Presort
5071 W 74TH ST

Indianapolis IN 46268

County MARION

Project Type New [ ] Addition [ ] Alteration [ ] Existing [Y] Change of Occupancy [ ]

Project Status [U] F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? [No] Has Violation been Issued? [No]

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: 3172322222 Email: planreview.Class1@indy.gov

Local Fire Official

Phone: 3172322222 Email: jkempler@pikefire.com

Variance Details

Code Name: 2002 NFPA 72 National Fire Alarm Code (675 IAC 28-1-28)

23.19.2

Conditions: Fire Alarms require 2 forms of communication according to the NFPA72 version that Indiana recognizes. Customer / Pitney Bowes refuses to allow vendor devices on their network. This leaves us with using 2 cell radios. Needing variance for monitoring with 2 cell radios.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

[1]

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Fire Alarms require 2 forms of communication according to the NFPA72 version that Indiana recognizes. Customer / Pitney Bowes refuses to allow vendor devices on their network. This leaves us with using 2 cell radios. Needing variance for monitoring with 2 cell radios.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

[ ] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

[Y] Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

[ ] Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Fire Alarms require 2 forms of communication according to the NFPA72 version that Indiana recognizes. Customer / Pitney Bowes refuses to allow vendor devices on their network. This leaves us with using 2 cell radios. Needing variance for monitoring with 2 cell radios.