Owner / Applicant Information
Jeffrey Law
ZP Investments, LLC
11460 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET
CARMEL IN 46032
Phon∈ 3177055050
Email JLAW@ZOTECPARTNERS.COM
Submitter Information
Edwin Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place
Indianaplis IN
Phon∈ 3173297700
Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com
Designer Information
William Browne, Jr, FAIA
Ratio Design
101 South Pennsylvania Street
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3176334040
Email bbrowne@ratiodesign.com
Litiali bbrowneeraliouesign.com
Project Information
Zotec Office Building Fidelity Way and North Illinois Street
Tidelity way and North lillinois Street
Carmel IN 46032
County HAMILTON
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Phone: 3175712444 Email: jblanchard@carmel.in.gov
Local Fire Official Final Local Final Local Fire Official Final Local Final Final Local Final Local Final Fina
Phone: 3175712444 Email: cfdinspections@carmel.in.gov

## Variance Details Code Name: 3

Other Code (Not in the list provided)

306.1, 2014 IMC

## Conditions:

The access panels provided for servicing the VRF mechanical units in hard ceiling areas on each floor level will not be of sufficient size to permit replacement of the corresponding units. The IMC requires access for maintenance and replacement of appliances without requiring permanent or fire-rated construction to be removed. The typical size of access panels will be 24" X 24" or larger.

The project involves construction of a 5-story headquarters facility, which will include office and work areas, training facilities, meeting rooms, food service/dining, fitness facilities, and 1st floor executive parking. The 1st floor includes a training room and a dining area. Zotec provides revenue cycle management for health care related clients.

## DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

- 1. The access panels to be provided will provide access for regular maintenance.
- 2. Any removal of construction required for the eventual replacement of the units will not involve removal of fire-rated construction.
- 3. Based upon the conditions noted, the use of the proposed access panels will not be adverse to safety or welfare.
- 4. Variances for this issue have been previously approved, including 20-04-25, 20-04-05a, 19-01-36, 18-08-56a, and 17-03-69.

## DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	Imposition of the rule would impose significant initial cost with no benefit to public safety or welfare.