

Owner / Applicant Information

Michael Labitzke

1500 WATERWORKS RD

EVANSVILLE IN 47713

Phone 8124212120

Email MLABITZKE@EWSU.COM

Submitter Information

Ter King

6630 Frito Lay Dr.

Evansville IN

Phone 8124548072

Email tera.king@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

ERIC RANG

HAFER PCS

21 S.E. 3rd St

Evansville IN

Phone 8124224187

Email ERANG@HAFERDESIGN.COM

Project Information

EAST WWTP PH2  
1500 WATERWORKS RD

EVANSVILLE IN 47713

County VANDERBURGH

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  No Has Violation been Issued?  No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
3.19.4.1

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.  
Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.  
Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.  
Please reference variance# 14-05-04

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007

3.19.4.4

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007

3.19.4.5

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
 3.26.8

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007  
 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  
 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance# 14-05-04