

Owner / Applicant Information

Brian W Lewis  
Fulton County Commissioners  
125 E. 9TH STREET

ROCHESTER IN 46975

Phone 5742233869

Email BLEWIS@CO.FULTON.IN.US

Submitter Information

Dennis Bradshaw  
Fire Protection & Code Consultants, LLC  
1520 Main Street

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3174865188

Email dennisb@fpccllc.com

Designer Information

Tony Vie  
Elevatus Architecture  
111 E. Wayne Street, Suite 555

Fort Wayne IN

Phone 2604249080

Email tvie@elevatusarchitecture.com

Project Information

Fulton Co. Sheriff's Office & Detention Center  
2006 Sweetgum Rd.

Rochester IN 46975

County FULTON

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  No Has Violation been Issued?  No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
716.5, 2014 IBC

Conditions: A new fully sprinklered I-3 occupancy will have openings into a one hour fire rated corridor that will not have 20 minute fire rated door assemblies where the corridor opens into the Book-In area and between the kitchen and the corridor. The code requires the openings to be protected by 20 minute fire rated assemblies.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

1. The building will be protected by a automatic fire sprinkler system installed per NFPA 13, 2010 Edition.
2. The doors between the kitchen and the corridor will be horizontal sliding security doors and the opening with be protected by close spaced sprinklers located on the room side to protect the non-compliant door opening. The horizontal sliding doors are necessary to provide security for the staff.
3. The corridor opening at the Book-In area will be protected by a draft curtain and close spaced sprinklers. Providing doors at this location will cause security issues for the staff.
4. Smoke detection will be provided within the corridor.

The same variance was previously granted for Adam's Co.Judicial Center. (Variance #15-04-74)

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner's undue hardship is the need to provide a safe environment for the staff. Swinging doors cause security issues within I-3 occupancies. The staff's ability to see all areas easily between the Book-In area and the corridors is extremely important.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

1021.2, 2014 IBC

Conditions: A new fully sprinklered I-3 occupancy will have a security control area on the second floor that will have access to one exit from the area.  
The code requires I-3 occupancies to be provided with two means of egress from the second floor regardless of occupant load.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

1. The building will be protected by a automatic fire sprinkler system installed per NFPA 13, 2010 Edition.  
2. The security area will have an occupant load of less than 10 people (actual will be 1-2 people).  
3. The maximum travel distance to the enclosed exit stair will be approximately 62 feet.  
4. Smoke detection will be provided in the residential housing area where the security area is located.

The same variance was granted for Adams Co. Judicial Center (variance #15-04-74)

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner's undue hardship is the difficulty of providing access to a second means of egress from this area with such a small occupant load.

