Owner / Applicant Information					
Greg Martz					
GM Development					
8561 N COUNTY RD 175 E					
SPRINGPORT IN 47386					
Phon∈ 3174175094					
Email GREG@GM-DEVELOPMENT.COM					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash Street					
Indianaoplis IN					
Phon€ 3173547370					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
JAMES ROBERT FUNK					
CSO Architects					
8831 Keystone Crossing					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178487800					
Email j_funk@csoinc.net					
Zman j_manke esementet					
Project Information					
MADE@ Plainfield					
1610 Reeves Rd					
TOTO NOCYCS NO					
PLAINFIELD IN 46168					
County HENDRICKS					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3178392561 Email: erudolphi@town.plainfield.in.us					
Local Fire Official					
Phone: 3178392561 Email: wstevens@plainfieldfire.com					

<u>Variance Details</u>							
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.26.8 ASME A17.1 2007						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
1	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance 14-05-04						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	me: ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
1	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance 14-05-04						