

Owner / Applicant Information

Kevin Hamel  
St Joseph Grade School  
216 N. HILL ST.  
711 E. COLFAX AVE  
SOUTH BEND IN 46617  
Phone 5743159686  
Email KHAMEL@STJOEPARISH.COM

Designer Information

xxx

Phone

Email

Project Information

remove fire hoses  
216 N. Hill street

South Bend 46617

County ST JOSEPH

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  yes Has Violation been Issued?  yes

Violation Issued by: SFM

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name:

2014 901.6 IFC 675IAC22-2.5

Conditions:

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Variance Details

Code Name:

2012 IBC

Conditions: Remove 6 fire hoses 2 on each floor see drawings

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: When the hoses are removed there will be additional fire extinguishers installed. 1 more per floor See drawings

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: If hoses are removed and capped and fire extinguishers are installed there would be no impact on public safety and this can be managed  
  
The reason that the removal would not be adverse is that we have installed an additional 3 fire extinguishers 1 per floor.  
If the variance is not approved there will be fines from the fire Marshall.

