<u>Owner /</u>	′ Appl	icant	<u>Information</u>	

Bob Leimberg Brahms Construction 120 South Central Ave Suite 1050 Clayton MO 63105 Phon∈ 6308129668

Email bleimberg@brahmsconstruction.com

Submitter Information

Sabina Kulach thyssenkrupp 3600 Lacey Rd Suite 100 Downers Grove IN

Phon€ 6308129668

Email sabina.kulach@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

David Mastin St. Louis Design Alliance 6014 Delmar

St. Louis IL

Phon€ 3148631313

Email bleimberg@brahmsconstruction.com

Project Information Cedarhurst of Dyer 1763 Calumet			
Dyer IL 46311			
County LAKE			
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy			
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled			
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?			
Violation Issued by: NA			
Local Building Official			
Phone: 2198654228 Email: mdecresenzo@townofdyer.com			
Local Fire Official			
Phone: 2198654228 Email: fire@townofdyer.com			

Variance Deta	ils
Code Name:	A

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04
DEMO	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04

Variance Deta	ils		
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	3.26.8		
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04		
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:			
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w		
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).		
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04		
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:			
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.		
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.		
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure		
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007		

This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04