

Owner / Applicant Information

Kim D Reeves  
Browning Investments  
6100 WEST 96TH ST., SUITE 150

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46278

Phone 3173447343

Email KREEVES@BROWNINGINV.COM

Submitter Information

Ralph D Gerdes  
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC  
5510 S. East St., Suite E

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3177873750

Email Ralph@rgc-codes.com

Designer Information

Alan R Tucker  
CSO Architects  
8831 Keystone Crossing

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178487800

Email atucker@CSOinc.net

Project Information

Aequitas  
3145 Southeastern Ave.

Indianapolis IN 46203

County MARION

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  No Has Violation been Issued?  No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC 707.6

Conditions: New five (5) story office building of Type IIB construction will have a restaurant (or other assembly use) on the first floor. A one (1) hour occupancy separation is required for assembly use. The wall(s) will have unprotected openings (glass panels and doors) in the wall(s). The code requires one (1) hour protected openings.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

2

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. As required, the building will be sprinklered per NFPA 13.  
2. Close spaced sprinklers will be located on both sides of the interior glass panels.  
3. Fire testing and research show sprinklers will maintain glass panels during a fire condition.  
4. Several variances have been approved in the past, recently Westfield Washington Aquatic Center [19-10-45(b)].

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure.

Facts: Owner Tenant wants visual connection to the lobby. Cost of fire rated assemblies is estimated to be \$40,000 to \$80,000.