Owner / Applicant Information					
Tony Knoble LINE LOFT SENIOR APARTMENTS GP, LLC 333 N PENNSYLVANIA ST, SUITE 1 SUITE 100 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204					
Phone 3172520221					
Email TONY@TWGDEV.COM					
Submitter Information Donna Willis thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street					
Indianaoplis IN					
Phon∈ 3173547370					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information Michael Jonathan Thomas TWG Development LLC 333 N PENNSYLVANIA ST, SUITE 1 SUITE 100 INDIANAPOLIS IN Phon€ 3175229256 Email MTHOMAS@TWGDEV.COM					
Elilali WITHOWAS@TWGDEV.COW					
Project Information Line Lofts 1145 E Washington St					
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204					
County MARION					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F = Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
<u>IDHS Issued Correction order?</u> <u>Has Violation been Issued?</u> <u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3173278475 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov Local Fire Official					
Phone: 3173278475 Email: margie.bovard@indy.gov					

<u>Variance Details</u>							
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	2: ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						