

Owner / Applicant Information

Fred Ham
Schrock Commercial Construction
2523 MESSICK DR.

GOSHEN IN 46526

Phone 5743495517

Email FRED@SCHROCKCOMMERCIAL.COM

Submitter Information

Donna Willis
thyssenkrupp Elevator
8665 Bash Street

Indianaoplis IN

Phone 3173547370

Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Gary Frank
Architectural Group III
201 S. Nappanee St.

Elkhart IN

Phone 5742930008

Email architecturalgroupIIIgf@comcast.net

Project Information

Hampton Inn Lebanon
401 Mount Zion Rd.

Lebanon IN 46052

County BOONE

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name:

Conditions:

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure.

Facts:

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007

3.26.8

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure.

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007

3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007
This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure.

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.