

Owner / Applicant Information

Ben Bailey  
The Sanctuary  
492 N. WABASH ST.

WABASH IN 46992

Phone 2604660740

Email BRBAILEYCONSTRUCTION@GMAIL.COM

Project Information

The Sanctuary  
492 N Wabash St

WABASH 46992

County WABASH

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☒ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name:

675 IAC 13-2.6-13

Conditions:

Two sets of existing ADA entrance doors are in non compliance. One set located in the basement entrance and the other set located off of the ADA ramp. Both entrances have dual leafs that both measure 28" In order to be ADA Compliant the doors have to have dual openers installed or the other option would be for the sets of doors to be replaced by a 36"

door accompanied by a smaller leaf door. It is our preference to keep the historical integrity and look of the doors so that they do not detract from the church. In lieu of not replacing the doors they would have automatic ADA compliant operators installed.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Door automation will aid in egress for ADA and for the general person using the doors. Please see the attached equipment sheet for a full list of automation equipment being installed on each of the sets of doors.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☒

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Leaving the doors as they are add visual and historical cohesion to the exterior facade of the building. Altering the door size will render the large ornate hinges to be non usable.