Owner / Applicant Information					
Tony Knoble					
333 N. PENNSYLVANIA ST. SUITE 409 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 Phon∈ 3172520221					
Email TONY@TWGDEV.COM					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash Street					
Indianaoplis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Walter Daniel Fritts Fifth Dimension Architecture & Interiors, LLC 2226 1st Ave.S #101 Birmingham AL					
Phon∈ 2055859509					
Email dan@5da-i.com					
Email danesda-i.com					
Project Information					
The Wesmont Apartment Homes 1515 Lewis Street					
Indianapolis IN 46202					
County MARION					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official Phone: 3173275544 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov Local Fire Official					
Phone: 3173275544 Email: margie.bovard@indy.gov					

Variance Deta	<u>ills</u>						
Code Name:	e: ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.  Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						

Code Name:	e: ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference approved variance #14-05-04.						

Variance Details