

Owner / Applicant Information

Troy Anderson
Menard Inc.
5101 MENARD DRIVE

EAU CLAIRE WI 54703

Phone 7158762836

Email TRANDERS@MENARD-INC.COM

Submitter Information

Ashley Szczech
Menard Inc
5101 Menard Drive

Eau Claire IN

Phone 7158762262

Email aszczech@menard-inc.com

Designer Information

Thomas Schwiebert
Schwiebert Architects
777 70th Street Gulf

Marathon FL

Phone 7154172663

Email tomschwiebert@gmail.com

Project Information

Menards Fort Wayne West ACC
6310 Illinois Road

FORT WAYNE WI 46804

County ALLEN

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? yes

Violation Issued by: LFD

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2011 NFPA 25 Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Water Based Fire Protection Systems (675 IAC 28-1-12)

NFPA-13 3.9.3.7.7

Conditions:

Shelving to allow 50% coverage to products with racking.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

The racking is used for wood product storage that will cover most of the shelving area. Non-compliance with the 50% coverage rule will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure.

Facts:

Amending the racking to allow 50% coverage would result in improper storage and possible damage to product.