Owner / Applicant Information						
Jake M Quenzler						
9450 Mississippi						
9450 MISSISSIPPI						
MERRILLVILLE IN 46410						
Phon∈ 2196145000						
Email JAKE.QUENZLER@YAHOO.COM						
<u>Project Information</u>						
Big Concrete and Excavating						
9450 Mississippi						
Merrillville 46410						
County LAKE						
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Violation issued by.						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 2197690004 Email: dbrown@merrillville.in.gov						
Local Fire Official						
Phone: 2197690004 Email: mgraham@merrillville.in.gov						
Warden on Datatle						
<u>Variance Details</u>						
Code Name:						

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

Conditions:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

	Then compliance that the rate that he date to the paste health, eating of the							
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).							
Facts:								
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:								
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.							
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure							
Facts:								

Variance Deta	<u>ails</u>					
Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	2014 IBC, 903.2.9					
Conditions:	903.2.5 Group S-1. An automatic sprinkler system shall be provided throughout all buildings containing a Group					
	S-1 occupancy where one of the following conditions exists:					
	I. A Group S-1 fire area exceeds 12,000 square feet (1115 m2).					
	2. A Group S-1 fire area is located more than three sto- ries above grade plane.					
	3. The combined area of all Group S-lfire areas on all floors, including any mezzanines, exceeds 24,000 square feet (2230 m2).					
	4. A Group S-1 fire area used for the storage of com- mercial trucks or buses where the fire area exceeds 5,000 square feet (464 m2).					
	No commercial trucks will be parked inside of the building. Only allowing loading and unloading in the structure. Short term and long term parking will be in the parking lot.					
DEMO	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:					
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
2	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	The property is located 650 sq ft from a fire hydrant, additionally, exit signs, smoke detectors, fire extinguishers and alarm monitoring service will be engaged.					
<u>DEMONS</u>	STRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					

Cost to install sprinkler system more than \$35,000.0

Facts: