Owner / Applicant Information Brian Wood PSC Industries 1100 WEST MARKET STREET						
LOUISVILLE KY 40203						
Phone 3175475439						
Email BRIANW@PSCOFKY.COM						
Submitter Information						
Timothy Callas						
J & T Consulting, LLC 8220						
INDIANAPOLIS IN						
Phone 3178894300						
Email tcallas@jtconsult.us						
Project Information						
PSC Industries						
6790 East 32nd Street						
Indianapolis IN 46226						
County MARION						
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Y Change of Occupancy						
Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 3173275544 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov Local Fire Official						
Phone: 3173275544 Email: Margie.Bovard@indy.gov						

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IFC 507.5.2

Conditions: An existing S-1 Occupancy, Type IIB construction building has an existing out of service hydrant (see photos) that has been determined by Indianapolis Fire Department (IFD) inspections to be in the collapse zone. IFD has requested that the hydrant and adjacent PIV remain out of service and be bagged ¿out of service¿.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1.The existing building is protected with automatic fire suppression throughout.
2.There are 3 active hydrants two to the west of building and one to the east of building (see areal drawings and photos) that are within 600 feet.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Г		

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Г			

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.



Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner's undue hardship involves the cost of repairing the existing hydrant while IFD will not use it based upon being in the collapse zone. In addition there are 3 other hydrants that comply with Section 507.5.1 Exception # 2 IFC (WITHIN 600 FEET).