

Owner / Applicant Information

Larry Gough  
Canal East II, LLC  
666 E MAIN ST STE C 1  
SUITE C-1  
CENTREVILLE MI 49032  
Phone 2198415953  
Email LGOUGH@IPADEVELOPMENT.NET

Submitter Information

Robert Scott Goodwin  
S  
6919 W. Lincoln Hwy  
  
Crown Point IN  
  
Phone 2193225950  
Email rsgoodwin@cskarchitects.com

Designer Information

Thomas Kuhn  
CSK Architect  
6919 W Lincoln Hwy  
  
Crown Point IN  
  
Phone 2193225950  
Email tkuhn@cskarchitects.com

Project Information

9 on Canal Phase II Apartment Project  
350 W St Clair St

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46202

County MARION

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  No Has Violation been Issued?  No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2008 Indiana Building Code (675 IAC 13-2.5)

Table 601

Conditions: The interior non fire rated unit walls penetrate the one hour fire rated floor/ceiling assembly required by table 601.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The non fire rated interior walls that penetrate the gypsum board ceiling will have 5/8" type "x" gypsum board each side. These walls are currently labeled on the construction drawings to have 5/8" type "x" gypsum board each side, therefore no changes will be required.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure.

Facts: