Owner / Applicant Information		
Larry Gough Canal East II, LLC 666 E MAIN ST STE C 1 SUITE C-1		
CENTREVILLE MI 49032		
Phon∈ 2198415953		
Email LGOUGH@IPADEVELOPMENT.NET		
Submitter Information		
Robert Scott Goodwin		
S 6919 W. Lincoln Hwy		
Crown Point IN		
Phon∈ 2193225950		
Email rsgoodwin@cskarchitects.com		
Designer Information		
Thomas Kuhn		
CSK Architect 6919 W Lincoln Hwy		
Crown Point IN		
Phone 2193225950		
Email tkuhn@cskarchitects.com		
Project Information		
9 on Canal Phase II Apartment Project		
350 W St Clair St		
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46202		
County MARION		
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy		
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled		
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No		
Violation Issued by: NA		
Local Building Official		
Phone: 3172322222 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov		
Local Fire Official   Phone:   3172322222   Email:   Ryan.Schmidt@indy.gov		

<u>Variance Details</u>		
Code Name:	2008 Indiana Building Code (675 IAC 13-2.5)	
	Table 601	
Conditions:	The interior non fire rated unit walls penetrate the one hour fire rated floor/ceiling assembly required by table 601.	
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:		
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w	
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).	
Facts:	The non fire rated interior walls that penetrate the gypsum board ceiling will have 5/8" type "x" gypsum board each side. These walls are currently labeled on the construction drawings to have 5/8" type "x" gypsum board each side, therefore no changes will be required.	
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.	
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.	
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.	
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure	
Facts:		