Owner / Applicant Information				
Mike Carr				
IU Health Bloomington Hospital				
601 W. 2ND STREET				
BLOOMINGTON IN 47403				
Phon∈ 8123535290				
Email DCARROLL1@IUHEALTH.ORG				
<u>Submitter Information</u>				
Jamie Neibel				
(01 W. O. J. Chart				
601 W. 2nd Street				
Bloomington IN				
Phon∈ 8123539069				
Email jneibel@iuhealth.org				
Email Jucipere laneaumorg				
<u>Designer Information</u>				
xxx				
Phone				
Email				
Project Information				
Fire Resistive Coating Variance				
601 W. 2nd Street				
Diagrammatan IN 47402				
Bloomington IN 47403				
County MONROE				
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Y Change of Occupancy				
Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled				
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? yes				
Violation Issued by: SFM				
Violation Issued by: SFM				
Local Building Official				
Local Building Official Phone: 8123492581 Email: jgerstbauer@co.monroe.in.us				
Local Building Official				

Variance	Details
v ai iai icc	Details

Code Name: 2014 IFC

703.1

Conditions:

2

Section 703.1- The required fire resistance rating of fire-rated construction shall be maintained. Missing fire rated protection observed on multiple locations through facility including, but not limited to, beams in ground level supply chain dock.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or

ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Fire barrier walls are well maintained, fire and smoke doors are maintained and ir

Fire barrier walls are well maintained, fire and smoke doors are maintained and in operating order, and all penetrations have been sealed. The building, including the area mentioned, is fully sprinkled and smoke detection system is operational. All life safety elements are tested and compliant with their required testing frequencies. Any needed repairs are performed in a timely manner to reduce the risk of harm.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
The building will be occupied for, approximately, another two years. After that, we will be occupying a new facility located elsewhere. This building will be torn down and disposed within a year of vacancy. The repairs necessary to remedy the fire protective coating will be costly and time consuming.

Code Name:							
Conditions:							
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:							
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Variance Details

Facts: