Owner / Applicant Information						
Sanford Epstein						
SEA Studios, PC						
6285 Barfield Rd, Suite 285						
Atlanta GA 30328						
Phon∈ 4042575902						
Email SANDY@SEA-US.COM						
Submitter Information						
Sanford Epstein						
SEA Studios, PC						
6285 Barfield Road, Suite 285						
Atlanta IN						
Phon∈ 4042575902						
Email sandy@sea-us.com						
Designer Information						
Isaac S. Epstein						
SEA Studios PC						
6285 Barfield Road						
Atlanta GA						
Phon∈ 4042575902						
Email sandy@sea-us.com						
Project Information						
Office Renovation & Alterations for Republic						
700 W Morris St.						
INDIANAPOLIS GA 46225						
County MARION						
Project Type New Addition Alteration Y Existing Y Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F = Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 3173274104 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov						
Local Fire Official						
Phone: 3173274104 Email: Margie.Bovard@indy.gov						

Variance Details

Code Name: 12-4-12 Existing Buildings; Additions or Alterations

Conditions:

Underside of elevator hoist beam is less than required minimum. Bldg is 90 years old and structural engineer in this instance does not recommend altering roof structure. Bldg is 3 stories and elevator is required. Minimum recommended clearance is 13'-8". Clearance for beam would be approx 13'-2".

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

DEIVIO	NSTRATION THAT FUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETT, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED.
1	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).
Facts:	See attached drawing
DEMONS	STRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	The newly installed hoist beam will be tied to and become part of the existing steel and wood roof support. The structural engineer did not recommend altering the roof structure to create a penthouse condition. Current roof structure is a combination of steel and wood beams and tongue and groove wood decking. An An estimate from the contractor to raise the roof approx one foot is attached.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007, 3.4.2.2 Car T

Conditions:

Underside of elevator hoist beam is less than required minimum. Bldg is 90 years old and structural engineer in this instance does not recommend altering roof structure. Bldg is 3 stories and elevator is required. Minimum recommended clearance is 13'-8". Clearance for beam would be approx 13'-2"

	beam would be approx 13'-2".							
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:								
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w							
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).							
Facts:	See attached drawing Estimate from contractor to raise roof approximately 1'-0"							
DEMONS	STRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.							
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure							
Facts:	The newly installed hoist beam will be tied to and become part of the existing steel and wood roof support. The structural engineer did not recommend altering the roof structure to create a penthouse condition. Current roof structure is a combination of steel and wood beams and tongue and groove wood decking. An estimate from the contractor to raise the roof approx one foot is attached.							