

Owner / Applicant Information

Pettenaro Paul  
World Wide Motors  
3900 E 96TH STREET

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240

Phone 3175806200

Email PAP@WORLDWIDEMOTORS.COM

Submitter Information

Joshua Cochran  
Brown Sprinkler Corp  
5250 Commerce Circle

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178894225

Email joshcochran@brownsprinkler.com

Designer Information

Ryan O'Hara  
Brown Sprinkler Corp  
5250 Commerce Circle

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178894225

Email ryanohara@brownsprinkler.com

Project Information

World Wide Motors  
3900 E 96th Street

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☒ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

Conditions: All Glass entry on South side of the building does not have a fire sprinkler in it. Due to building design there is no access to get a sprinkler in the space. The whole entry is non-combustible with glass walls and sloped glass ceiling and there is no chance of storage of materials.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☐ 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: If a fire occurs in this space it will not spread fast due to non-combustible materials. This entry would not be used by the public if it contained a fire, they would use other exits.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The construction is completed. Costs would be significant in exploration of how to access the space with sprinkler pipe.

Variance Details

Code Name: 2010 NFPA 13 Installation of Sprinkler Systems (675 IAC 28-1-5)  
8.15.7.2

Conditions: All glass entry way that is non combustible does not have a sprinkler installed. There is not an access for the sprinkler pipe to penetrate.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: There are other means of egress which would be used in case of any kind of fire emergency located in this entry way or area surrounding it. It would not be advisable to use this entry way if there was a fire located in or around it.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: This area of the building is completely finished with no access to the entry way for the sprinkler pipe. Beams would need to be altered and wall components partially demolished in order for this to comply.

