Owner / Applicant Information							
Brandon Gerber							
BCG Capital LLC 112 N MARION ST							
BLUFFTON IN 46714							
Phon∈ 2602739850							
Email BRANDON.C.GERBER@NM.COM							
Designer Information							
Cale Jacobs							
CJ Engineering							
6807 Catamaran Dr.							
Huntsville OH							
Phon∈ 9375398820							
Email cjengineering@embarqmail.com							
Project Information							
112 N Marion St Remodel							
112 N. Marion St							
BLUFFTON 46714							
County WELLS							
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy							
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled							
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?							
<u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA							
Local Building Official The second building Official in the second building of the second							
Phone: 2608246068 Email: building@ci.bluffton.in.us Local Fire Official							
Phone: 2608246068 Email: fire394@ci.bluffton.in.us							

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>					
Code Name: 2014 IBC						
	903.2.8					
Conditions:	2014 Indiana Building Code.					
	This building has a main-level, an unfinished basement, and an upstairs. Our project is changing the upstairs (nearly 2,000 sq ft) from commercial use office space from the prior owner to a 3-bedroom apartment.					
DEMON	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:					
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
2	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	We are adding many windows to the upstairs as well as keeping the fire-rated floor with the concrete between the two floors.					
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					
Facts:	We already incurred the acquisition cost of the building, the demo costs for the upstairs, and the material and labor for the remodel of the upstairs. The unnecessary and high cost for a sprinkler system makes this project nearly impossible to complete. We are adding windows and keeping the fire-rated floor with the concrete between the two floors and are asking that we are not required to pay for a sprinkler system as well.					

ariance Deta	<u>IIS</u>
Code Name:	2008 Indiana Building Code (675 IAC 13-2.5)
	903.2.8
Conditions:	
DEMON	ISTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
2	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).
Facts:	We are putting in many windows in the upstairs as well as keeping the fire-rated floor with the concrete between the main level and upstairs.
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	We already have the acquisition cost of the building, the demolition of the upstairs, and the material and labor for the remodel. Since we are installing windows and keeping the fire-rated floor with the concrete between the two floors there is no adverse consequence to public safety by applying for this variance so that we are not required to install a sprinkler system in the building. The additional cost of a sprinkler system would make this project nearly impossible to complete due to large and unnecessary additional costs.