Owner / Applicant Information					
Mike Spencer					
Indiana Masonic Home at Compass Park					
690 STATE STREET					
EDANIZIAN INI AZAZA					
FRANKLIN IN 46131					
Phon∈ 3173461401					
Email MSPENCER@COMPASSPARK.ORG					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash Street					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Dan Moriarity					
Studio M Architecture & Planning					
2 West Main Street					
Carmel IN					
Phon∈ 3178101502					
Email dmoriarity@studiomarchitecture.net					
Project Information					
IMH Residence at Young's Creek					
690 S. State St.					
Franklin IN 46131					
County JOHNSON					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3177363631 Email: dparsley@franklin.in.gov					
Local Fire Official					
Phone: 3177363631 Email: bpursifull@franklin.in.gov					

Variance Details							
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5				
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04				
DEMON	ISTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:				
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w				
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).				
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04				
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.				
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.				
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure				
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04				

Variance Details