

Owner / Applicant Information

Casey Hall  
South Newton School Corporation  
13232 SOUTH 50 EAST

KENTLAND IN 47951

Phone 2194745184

Email HALLC@NEWTON.K12.IN.US

Submitter Information

Timothy Callas  
J & T Consulting, LLC  
8220

INDIANAPOLIS IN

Phone 3178894300

Email tcallas@jtconsult.us

Designer Information

Jerry Firestone  
Triangle Design, LLC  
P. O. Box 994

Noblesville IN

Phone 3174356406

Email jlf@triangledesignllc.com

Project Information

South Newton School Corporation  
13232 South 50 East

Kentland IN 47951

County NEWTON

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☒ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
2014 IBC 1018.1

Conditions: An existing 1-story JR/SR high school undergoing classroom renovations (in yellow) will replace existing demountable corridor walls extending to the ceiling with nonrated metal stud and gypsum board corridor walls extending to the ceiling in same location. The project will include new LED lighting and ceiling tile replacement in the existing suspended acoustic ceiling grid. Project scope also includes interior renovation (not affecting corridor walls) in auditorium and cafeteria areas.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. Smoke detectors tied to the building fire alarm system will be added in the corridors undergoing renovation and classrooms undergoing renovation.  
2. Corridor walls will be constructed in exactly same location as existing. The walls are being replaced due to continuing maintenance problems with existing corridor walls.  
3. Previous variance for similar scope for this building # 16-09-69.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner's undue hardship involves compliance with current code would expand project scope not accommodated by the project budget. Existing egress corridors were not required to be fire-resistive construction by the applicable pre-1975 codes in effect for the construction of the building. Replacing like construction in same location can be considered maintenance and not new construction.