Owner / Applicant Information						
Darren Hess						
Fort Wayne Community Schools						
1200 SOUTH CLINTON ST.						
FORT WAYNE IN 46802						
Phon∈ 2604672075						
Email DARREN.HESS@FWCS.K12.IN.US						
Colourithou Information						
Submitter Information						
Edwin Rensink						
RTM Consultants Inc 6640 Parkdale Place						
Indianaplis IN						
Phon∈ 3173297700						
Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com						
Designer Information						
Jerry Alan Rolfson						
Performance Services, Inc						
4670 Haven Point Blvd.,						
Indinapolis IN						
Phon∈ 3178191360						
Email sixrolfsons@att.net						
Errain Sixtolisonis edit. Het						
Project Information						
Lakeside Middle School Kitchen Renovation						
2100 Lake Ave						
FORT WAYNE IN 46805						
County ALLEN						
Project Type New Addition Y Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? yes Has Violation been Issued? No						
<u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 2604497173 Email: john.caywood@co.allen.in.us						
Local Fire Official						
Phone: 2604497173 Email: jim.murua@cityoffortwayne.org						

Variance Details Other Code (Not in the list provided) Code Name: Rule 6, Section 7(a), GAR Full plans for the pre-manufactured cooler of 550 sq ft will not be provided as outlined in Rule Conditions: 6, Section 7, GAR. Alternately, the structure does not comply with the industrialized building requirements for modular structures per 675 IAC. The variance request is to permit the shop drawings provided to be used to obtain a CDR for the structure. The cooler will be added to the exterior of the existing kitchen and will be used to support the current kitchen renovation project. DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). 1. Shop drawings from the manufacturer have typically been used in the past for the purpose Facts: of obtaining a CDR. 2. The structural design will comply with IBC as indicated in the attached calculations and illustrations. 3. All utilities (electrical and mechanical) are surface-mounted and can be inspected in the 4. An identical variance was granted for a cooler addition by the same manufacturer to Valley Mills Elementary School (18-08-54a). Based upon the very small size of the cooler, the proposed method of demonstrating compliance will not be adverse to safety. DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty)

because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements. Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Imposition of the rule will not permit the proposed cooler to be added to the building. Polar King has installed most of the cooler units in the Fort Wayne area on past recent projects. Switching to a different supplier at this point would delay the project for a year.