

Owner / Applicant Information

Danni Harrison
Indiana University Health
950 N MERIDIAN ST

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204
Phone 3179626652
Email DHARRISON3@IUHEALTH.ORG

Submitter Information

Derek Holman
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale PI Suite J

Indianapolis IN
Phone 3173297700
Email holman@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Tim Cover
Studio 3 Design, Inc.
8604 Allisonville Rd Suite 330

Indianapolis IN
Phone 3175951000
Email tcover@studio3design.net

Project Information

IU Health Methodist Comprehensive Wound Clinic
1701 N. Senate Ave

Indianapolis IN 46204

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC Section 407.4.3.4

Conditions: The exit access travel distance from within the non-sleeping patient care suite will be a maximum of 100' through more than 1 intervening room. The code only permits a maximum travel distance of 50' through a max of 2 intervening rooms. NFPA 101 - Life Safety Code permits a maximum 100' exit access travel distance and does not limit intervening rooms.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☒ 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The 2012 NFPA 101 - Life Safety Code permits a maximum 100' travel distance from non-sleeping patient care suites without limiting intervening rooms (enforced on this project by ISDH and CMS).
2. The building is fully sprinklered in accordance with NFPA 13.
3. Similar variances have been granted in the past (17-12-13, 17-09-06, 19-02-20, 19-04-51)

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The hardship is the limitations of the existing floor plan layout. With most of the floor plan staying as is it does not make it possible to design a suite of rooms that would allow for a 50' travel distance through 2 intervening rooms.