

Owner / Applicant Information

Buzz Weisiger
Buzz Weisiger
350 MASSACHUSETTS AVE
SUITE 400
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204
Phone 3175994787
Email CWCBUZZ@GERSHMANPARTNERS.COM

Submitter Information

Donna Willis
thyssenkrupp Elevator
8665 Bash Street

Indianapolis IN
Phone 3178417321
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

SCOTT BARTEN PERKINS
Blackline Studio
1043 Virginia Ave
Studio 208
Indianapolis IN
Phone 3178037900
Email perkins@blacklinestudio.net

Project Information

The Ardmore
231 N Pennsylvania St

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

County MARION

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007
2.14.1.7.1, 2.20.1, 2.20.2.1

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007
ThyssenKrupp Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL (EVO) series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard for elevator suspension, compensation and governor systems code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached supporting documentation and please reference the first EVO variance that was approved, variance #19-01-48.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

- 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007
ThyssenKrupp Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL (EVO) series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard for elevator suspension, compensation and governor systems code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached supporting documentation and please reference the first EVO variance that was approved, variance #19-01-48.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007
ThyssenKrupp Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL (EVO) series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard for elevator suspension, compensation and governor systems code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached supporting documentation and please reference the first EVO variance that was approved, variance #19-01-48.

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007
2.20.2.2,2.20.3,2.20.9,2.25.3

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

ThyssenKrupp Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL (EVO) series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard for elevator suspension, compensation and governor systems code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached supporting documentation and please reference the first EVO variance that was approved, variance #19-01-48.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

ThyssenKrupp Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL (EVO) series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard for elevator suspension, compensation and governor systems code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached supporting documentation and please reference the first EVO variance that was approved, variance #19-01-48.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- ☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

ThyssenKrupp Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL (EVO) series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard for elevator suspension, compensation and governor systems code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached supporting documentation and please reference the first EVO variance that was approved, variance #19-01-48.