Owner / Applicant Information

Tom Tomaszewski The Annex Group 409 MASSACHUSETTS AVE SUITE 300 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 Phone 7088878041

Email TOM@THEANNEXGRP.COM

Submitter Information

Donna Willis thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street

Indianapolis IN

Phon€ 3178417321

Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Drew White Axis Architecture 618 E. Market Street

Indianapolis IN

Phon€ 3172648162

Email dwhite@axisarch.com

| Project Information Union at Crescent 1100 N. Crescent Rd. |
|---|
| Bloomington IN 47404 |
| County MONROE |
| Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy |
| Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled |
| IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued? |
| Violation Issued by: NA |
| Local Building Official |
| Phone: 8123492674 Email: blarue@co.monroe.in.us |
| Local Fire Official Phone: 8123492674 Email: clappt@bloomington.in.gov |
| Phone: 8123492674 Email: clappt@bloomington.in.gov |

| Variance | Details |
|----------|---------|
| variance | Detunis |

| Code Name: | Other Code (Not in the list provided) |
|-------------|--|
| | ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8 |
| Conditions: | ASME A17.1 2007 |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04 |
| DEMO | NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: |
| | 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w |
| 1 | 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04 |
| DEMONS | STRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. |
| Υ | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements. |
| | Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04 |

| Variance Deta | ils |
|---------------|-----|
|---------------|-----|

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5 Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

ASME A17.1 2007 Facts:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:



Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.



Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

|--|

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

ASME A17.1 2007 Facts:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04