

Owner / Applicant Information

Jane Healy

10 S. DEARBORN
15TH FLOOR
CHICAGO IL 60603

Phone 3123253320

Email JANE.E.HEALY@CHASE.COM

Submitter Information

Andy Culbertson
The Architects Partnership
200 S. Michigan Ave.
1020
Chicago IN

Phone 3125839800

Email culbertson@tapchicago.com

Designer Information

John Halleran
The Architects Partnership
200 S. Michigan Ave.
1020
Chicago IL

Phone 3125839800

Email Halleran@tapchicago.com

Project Information

Circle
111 Monument Circle

Indianapolis IL 46204

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2014 Indiana Fire Code

3311.2, 2014 Indiana Fire Code

Conditions: Seeking to remediate water infiltration occurring at the main entrance (revolving doors). In doing so, we would simultaneously replace the revolving doors with an ADA compliant vestibule. In order to properly remediate the water issue, and replace the entrance successfully, we would prefer to complete the 2 improvements concurrently (as it would also shorten the construction schedule). We are looking to temporarily shut down the main entrance throughout the duration of the installation. We are seeking a variance for approx. 3-4 months.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The temporary closure of the East exit will allow for most efficient repair/replacement (cost & schedule) of the slab and vestibule. A temporary construction enclosure will be erected throughout construction to ensure the safety of the public.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: If forced to proceed with a phased construction, this will have significant cost implications, schedule delays, and potentially compromising the integrity of the replacement system. It will also prolong the amount of time the required East exit will be shut down.