Owner / Applicant Information Sarah Galloway
Shiel Sexton Company, Inc. 902 N CAPITOL AVENUE
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204
Phon∈ 3174236226
Email SGALLOWAY@SHIELSEXTON.COM
Submitter Information
Sarah Galloway
Shiel Sexton Company, Inc. 902 N Capitol Avenue
INDIANAPOLIS IN
Phone 3174236226
Email sgalloway@shielsexton.com
<u>Designer Information</u>
Ray Rebilas Kitchen and Associates
756 Haddon Ave
Collingswood NJ
Phon∈ 8565592065
Email rrebilas@kitchenandassociates.com
Project Information
Oasis at 56th Street
4940 W 56th St
Indianapolis IN 46254
County MARION
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Phone: 3174526478 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov Local Fire Official
Phone: 3174526478 Email: sbruner@pikefire.com

Variance Deta	<u>nils</u>	
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)	
	NFPA 24, 1995, Section 3-3.2	
Conditions:	The PIV is located too close to building.	
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:		
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w	
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).	
Facts:	The currently location will not affect public health, safety or welfare.	
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.	
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.	
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.	
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure	
Facts:	After discussion with local fire marshal, the proposed relocation would still be in violation of the code.	