

Owner / Applicant Information

JOSH HREHA

805 W. CITY CENTER DR.

CARMEL IN 46032

Phone 3172181642

Email joshh@scannellproperties.com

Submitter Information

Tera King

6630 Frito Lay Dr.

Evansville IN

Phone 8124759169

Email tera.king@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Craig Penquite

DKGR

10 West Market Street, Suite 8

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3176140053

Email Craig.penquite@dkgrar.com

Project Information

The Post House

123 NW 3RD St.

Evansville IN 47708

County VANDERBURGH

Project Type New ☒ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:



Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
2.14.1.7.1,2.20.2.1

Conditions: 

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

 Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
2.20.3,2.20.9,2.25.3,2.20.1

Conditions: 

ASME A17.1 2007 THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
ASME A17.1 2007 2.20.2.2

Conditions: 

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.1 2007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

- 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

THYSSENKRUPP Elevator is requesting approval for an alternate method suspension of the Evolution MRL(EVO)series of elevator to the ASME A17.12007 code year. Evolution 200 is code compliant to the ASME A17.1 2010-16 Safety Code for Elevators and Escalators and the ASME A17.6 2010 standard elevator suspension, compensation and governor system code years. The EVO product is a belted traction system with the controller either in the jamb or in a control space, control room, machine room. Please see attached application for variance as well as other supporting documentation. \*\*Please reference approved variance 19-01-48.\*\*

