

Owner / Applicant Information

Cahmelan Porter

1222 LEXINGTON AVE

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46203

Phone 3176547703

Email CAHMELANPORTER@GMAIL.COM

Submitter Information

Carrie Ballinger

RTM Consultants, Inc.

6640 Parkdale Place, Ste J

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email ballinger@rtmconsultants.com

Project Information

Cargo Streetwear Boutique

2328 Shelby St

Indianapolis IN 46203

County MARION

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2014 IBC Sec. 2902.1

Conditions: Permanent restroom facilities will not be provided inside the shipping container structure. Code requires permanent restroom facilities based upon proposed use of the shipping container as a Class 1 structure with M Occupancy.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☐ 1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Portable restroom facilities will be provided for employees, including handwashing facilities. Public facilities are not required based upon limited occupant load.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The limited size of the building, and lack of permanent connection to water/sewer utilities on site, will not allow permanent fixtures inside the shipping container. Public restroom facilities are not required, and employees will be provided with portable restroom facilities on site.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

GAR 12-4-7

Conditions: A metal shipping container will be placed for use as a Class 1 structure with retail occupancy without complying with all rules for new construction.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The structure will comply with current rules for new construction except as outlined in the attached narrative.
2. The structure is approximately 322 square feet with an occupant load less than 10.
3. Emergency lighting will be provided as required.
4. The structure will be tied down with wedge anchors. A structural analysis has been completed to verify the shipping container will withstand the loads required per current code.
5. Power will be provided by an internally grounded generator.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The hardship is the difficulty in verifying full compliance with all current rules given the nature of the construction as a shipping container. The container will not be a permanent building on the site (will be greater than 90 days), but will occasionally be moved to other locations, and therefore will not be placed on permanent footer foundations, nor connected to permanent power on site.

