

Owner / Applicant Information

Chris Bauer  
Trinity Episcopal Church  
611 W BERRY ST  
  
FORT WAYNE IN 46802  
Phone 2606157844  
Email CHRIS.BAUER.13@GMAIL.COM

Submitter Information

Carrie Ballinger  
RTM Consultants, Inc.  
6640 Parkdale Place, Ste J  
  
Indianapolis IN  
Phone 3173297700  
Email ballinger@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Victor Martin  
Martin Riley  
221 West Baker Street  
  
Fort Wayne IN  
Phone 2604227994  
Email vmartin@martin-riley.com

Project Information

Trinity Episcopal Church  
611 W Berry St

Fort Wayne IN 46802

County ALLEN

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
2014 IBC Sec. 1018.1

Conditions: New corridor walls will not be 1-hour rated. Code requires 1-hour rated corridors based upon serving an occupant load over 30.

The project involves minor interior renovations to the existing church building. Renovation will include removing corridor walls of an existing gift shop space, renovations to small office layouts, and an added wall/door at the landing to corridor ramp that connects the sanctuary.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The existing corridor walls are not rated. The proposed renovations will not negatively affect travel distances.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The hardship is the cost to provide floor-to-deck wall construction with rated doors for the minimal lengths of new corridor walls while the larger extent of existing corridor walls are not rated.