Owner / Applicant Information		
Ryan Gallmeyer		
NOS Innovation Partners II, LLC		
941 N. MERIDIAN STREET		
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204		
Phon∈ 3179741234		
Email RYAN.GALLMEYER@BUCKINGHAM-CO.COM		
Submitter Information		
Edwin Rensink		
RTM Consultants Inc		
6640 Parkdale Place		
Indianaplis IN		
Phon∈ 3173297700		
Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com		
Zindir Terretrike turieerisedian kereeri		
<u>Designer Information</u>		
Kelly Davis, AIA		
Oz Architecture		
3003 Larimer Street		
Denver CO		
Phon∈ 3038615704		
Email kdavis@ozarch.com		
Zindii Kaavis s d Zardii i d Sin		
Project Information		
CityWay Phase II Building B2 322 Louisiana St		
322 Louisiana St		
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204		
County MARION		
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy		
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled		
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No		
Violation Issued by: NA		
Local Building Official		
Phone: 3173275544 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov		
Local Fire Official		
Phone: 3173275544 Email: margie.bovard@indy.gov		

Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)	
	1009.10, 2014 IBC	
Conditions:	The vertical rise between landings for individual stairs (2 total) within individual dwelling units accessing the unit rooftop terrace will exceed the permitted 12 ft distance - actual will be a maximum of 12 ft, 1.5 inches.	
	The units involved are on the 4th floor of the Type VA apartment building.	
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:		
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w	
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).	
Facts:	1. The building will be protected with a sprinkler system per NFPA 13R.	
	2. The minimal increased height of 1.5 inches between landings is an increase of approximately 1% beyond code maximum of 12 feet, and will not be adverse to safety.	
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.	
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.	
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.	
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure	
Facts:	Due to some issues with the roof waterproofing discovered during initial construction, the roof decks had to be raised a few inches from the original design and one riser was added to each of the stairs. Imposition of the rule would significantly impact the design of the building, resulting in loss of loss of rentable space in the affected units.	

Variance Details