

Owner / Applicant Information

Julee Jacobs
City of Indianapolis Department of Public Works
1200 SOUTH MADISON AVENUE
SUITE 200
INDIANPOLIS IN 46225
Phone 3173277015
Email JULEE.JACOB@INDY.GOV

Submitter Information

John Lapp
Kevin K. Parsons & Associates, Inc.
212 West 10th Street
A-290
Indianapolis, IN 46202 IN
Phone 3179559155
Email jlapp@landarkkt.com

Designer Information

Jason Doan
Etica Group
7172 North Keystone
Suite G
Indianapolis IN
Phone 3174669520
Email jdoan@eticagroup.com

Project Information

Centennial and Groff Splash Pad
2320 N Centennial Ave

INDIANAPOLIS IN 00000

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☐ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2008 Indiana Building Code (675 IAC 13-2.5)

Unknown

Conditions: Calculations for snow load on fabric canopy not possible due to the fact that it will be removed from the shade structure before winter and snow accumulation

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The owner will remove this every year prior to winter as part of their shutdown and maintenance of the park.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

Section 1608 2014 Indiana B.C.

Conditions:

Snow load not possible on fabric canopy not possible due to the fact that it will be removed from the shade structure before winter and snow accumulation

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

The owner will remove this every year prior to winter as part of their shutdown and maintenance of the park

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

675 IAC 13-2.5-17 Chapter 16

Conditions:

Section 17 Chapter 16 Structural Design (3) snow load requirements on the fabric canopy not possible due to the fact that the canopy will be removed from the shade structure before winter and snow accumulation

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

The owner will remove this every year prior to winter as part of their shutdown and winterization of the park.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: