

Owner / Applicant Information

Jeb Sloan
Clif Bar and Company LLC
1451 66TH STREET

EMERYVILLE CA 94608
Phone 8172472002
Email JSLOAN@CLIFBAR.COM

Submitter Information

Ralph Gerdes
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC
5510 S. East St., Suite E

Indianapolis IN
Phone 3177873750
Email Ralph@rgc-codes.com

Designer Information

Kenneth A. Sebree
SEBREE Architects, Inc.
97 Dover Street

Avon IN
Phone 3172727800
Email nancy@sebreearchitects.com

Project Information

Clif Bar Temporary Facilities
7575 Georgetown Rd

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46268

County MARION

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ yes Has Violation been Issued? ☐ No

Violation Issued by: SBC

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, 507.3

Conditions: New structure needs to be 60 feet away from existing building to maintain unlimited floor area for existing building. New structure is approximately one (1) inch from existing building. Structure is less than 5,000 sq.ft.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Existing unlimited area building is sprinklered. NFPA 80A states existing building is not an exposure hazard to the new structure, the new structure hazard to the existing building is substantially reduced.

This condition will only exist until January 3, 2020.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

New structure has been sited and built. Site does not permit remote location from existing building, and the structure is intended to work with the existing building.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, 903.3.1.1

Conditions: Building, if treated as addition to existing unlimited area building, is required to be sprinklered. New structure is less than 5,000 sq.ft.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Existing unlimited area building is sprinklered. NFPA 80A states existing building is not an exposure hazard to the "addition", and the "addition" hazard to the existing building is substantially reduced.

This condition will only exist until January 3, 2020.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: New structure has been sited and built. Site does not permit remote location from existing building, and the structure is intended to work with the existing building.

Variance Details

Code Name: 2009 Indiana Electrical Code (675 IAC 17-1.8)

Article 230.3

Conditions: "Temporary" structure gets power from adjacent building. Electrical code does not allow service conductors through another building.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: "Temporary" structure will have disconnect switch accessible to personnel and local fire department.

This condition will only exist until January 3, 2020.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Cost of providing separate electrical service for "temporary" structure is part of the hardship.