

Owner / Applicant Information

JOSH HREHA

805 W. CITY CENTER DR.

CARMEL IN 46032

Phone 3172181642

Email joshh@scannellproperties.com

Submitter Information

Tera King

6630 Frito Lay Dr.

Evansville IN

Phone 8124548072

Email tera.king@thyssenkrupp.com

Project Information

Garvin Lofts
107 N.Garvin St

Evansville IN 47711

County VANDERBURGH

Project Type New ☒ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.7 2007 3.19.4.5

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☐ 1
2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- ☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 3.19.4.4

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.1

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- ☒ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐☒☐

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

