

Owner / Applicant Information

Zach Martin

4763 ROSEBUD LN.

NEWBURGH IN 47630

Phone 8124537753

Email ZMARTIN@WOODWARDREALTY.COM

Submitter Information

Tera King

6630 Frito Lay Dr.

Evansville IN

Phone 8124548072

Email tera.king@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Eric Rang

Hafer

21 SE 3rd St #800

Evansville IN

Phone 8124224187

Email erang@haferdesign.com

Project Information

Talley Eye Institute
6149 E. Columbia St

Evansville IN 47715

County VANDERBURGH

Project Type New ☒ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: 8124367884

Email: rbeane@evansville.in.gov

Local Fire Official

Phone: 8124367884

Email: RANKIN@EVANSVILLEFIREDEPARTMENT.COM

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.4

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- ☒ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.5

Conditions:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

