Owner / Applicant Information					
Matt Rosemond					
333 N PENNSYLVANIA ST					
SUITE 100					
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240  Phon∈ 7658103410					
Email MROSEMOND@TWGDEV.COM					
Submitter Information  Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash Street					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Walter Daniel Fritz					
Fifth Dimension Architecture & Interiors 2226 1st Ave. S					
Suite 101					
Birmingham AL					
Phone 2055859509					
Email dan@5da-i.com					
Project Information					
The Notch at Nora					
8502 Westfield Blvd.					
Indianapolis IN 46240					
County MARION					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3173275544 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov Local Fire Official					
Phone: 3173275544 Email: margie.bovard@indy.gov					

Variance Details								
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	3.26.8							
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.							
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:								
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w							
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).							
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.							
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:								
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.							
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure							
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.							

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3,19.4.5				
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.				
DEMON	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:				
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w				
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).				
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.				
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.				
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.				
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure				
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.				

Variance Details