Owner / Applicant Information				
Chuck Bear				
Lafayette School Corporation				
2300 CASON ST 1801 SOUTH 18TH ST				
LAFAYETTE IN 47904				
Phon∈ 7657724794				
Email CBEAR@LSC.K12.IN.US				
Submitter Information				
Donna Willis				
thyssenkrupp Elevator				
8665 Bash Street				
Indianapolis IN				
Phon∈ 3178417321				
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com				
Designer Information				
John G Cordogan				
Cordogan Clark & Associates				
960 Ridgeway Ave				
Aurora IL				
Phon∈ 6308964678				
Email jcordogan@cordoganclark.com				
Project Information				
Jefferson High School Natatorium Renovations				
1801 South 18th St				
LAFAVETTE IN AZOOF				
LAFAYETTE IN 47905				
County TIPPECANOE  Project Type New V Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy				
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled				
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?				
Violation Issued by: NA				
Local Building Official  The provided Residual Control of the prov				
Phone: 7658071043 Email: mgick@lafayette.in.gov Local Fire Official				
Phone: 7658071043 Email: radoyle@lafayette.in.gov				

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>							
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)							
	ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8							
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.							
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:								
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w							
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).							
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007								
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.							
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:								
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.							
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure							
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.  Please reference variance #14-05-04							

<u>Variance Details</u>							
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
1	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						