| Owner / Applicant Information | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dave Cooper | | | | | |
| Glasswater Creek of Plainfield | | | | | |
| 44 N. GIRLS SCHOOL ROAD | | | | | |
| INDIANAPOLIS IN 46214 | | | | | |
| Phone 3176915877 | | | | | |
| Email DCOOPER@CRF.NET | | | | | |
| Email Bood English MET | | | | | |
| Submitter Information | | | | | |
| Donna Willis | | | | | |
| thyssenkrupp Elevator | | | | | |
| 8665 Bash Street | | | | | |
| Indianapolis IN | | | | | |
| Phon∈ 3178417321 | | | | | |
| Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com | | | | | |
| Designer Information | | | | | |
| Vanessa Shumate | | | | | |
| American Structurepoint | | | | | |
| 7260 Shadeland Station | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Indianapolis IN | | | | | |
| Phon∈ 3175475580 | | | | | |
| Email vshumate@structurepoint.com | | | | | |
| · | | | | | |
| Project Information | | | | | |
| Glasswater Creek Plainfield | | | | | |
| 10480 Glasswater Lane | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Plainfield IN 46168 | | | | | |
| County HENDRICKS | | | | | |
| Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy | | | | | |
| Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled | | | | | |
| IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued? | | | | | |
| Violation Issued by: NA | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Local Building Official Dhara 21775 45 201 | | | | | |
| Phone: 3177545381 Email: erudolphi@town.plainfield.in.us | | | | | |
| Local Fire Official Phone: 3177545381 Email: wstevens@plainfieldfire.com | | | | | |
| Thone. 3177343301 Email. Watevena@plaininelanie.com | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Variance Deta | <u>ils</u> | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Code Name: | Other Code (Not in the list provided) | | | | | | | |
| | ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8 | | | | | | | |
| Conditions: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04. | | | | | | | |
| DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). | | | | | | | |
| Facts: ASME A17.1 2007 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State Please reference variance #14-05-04. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. | | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. | | | | | | | |
| Υ | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements. | | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure | | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04 | | | | | | | |

| <u>Variance Details</u> | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Code Name: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | 3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5 | | | | | | |
| Conditions: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04. | | | | | | |
| DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w | | | | | | |
| | 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04. | | | | | | |
| DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: | | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. | | | | | | |
| Υ | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements. | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04. | | | | | | |