Owner / Applicant Information					
Brad Bastin					
Hancock Health					
801 NORTH STATE STREET					
GREENFIELD IN 46140					
Phon∈ 3174684509					
Email BBASTIN@MESSER.COM					
<u>Submitter Information</u>					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash Street					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Joe Raper					
CSO Architects					
8831 Keystone Crossing					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178487800					
Email jraper@csoinc.net					
Project Information					
Hancock Regional Health Phase 1 Clinic					
200N and N 600W					
GREENFIELD IN 46104					
County HANCOCK					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3174771133 Email: swilliams@hancockcoingov.org					
Local Fire Official					
Phone: 3174771133 Email: des7859@yahoo.com					

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	e: Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.26.8 ASME A17.1 2007						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana.  Please reference variance #14-05-04						

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5				
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.				
DEMON	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:				
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w				
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).				
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.				
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.				
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.				
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure				
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007				
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.				

Variance Details