

Owner / Applicant Information

Brett Pauls
A1 Camps
LLC 7247 N ST. LOUIS

SKOKIE IL 60076

Phone 8476755679

Email BRETT@AICAMPS.COM

Submitter Information

Christina Collester
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale Place

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email collester@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Kenneth Godfrey
McCollum Architects
490 E Landry Lane

Morgantown GA

Phone 7063741741

Email kengodfrey@tds.net

Project Information

A1 Camps
4125 E Landry Ln

Marshall IN 47859

County PARKE

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2014 IBC 903.2.8

Conditions: The three new modular bunkhouse structures will not be protected by a NFPA 13 or 13R sprinkler system as required by this section of the code.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 2

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The buildings will be provided with 13D sprinkler system. (2010 edition)
two remote exits are provided from each sleeping room.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The site operates off a well so volume and pressure for a 13 or 13R system is not available.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

675 IAC 15-1.4-6

Conditions: Six Modules were sent from Georgia in advance of the Final Inspection and placement of the Indiana Seal. The buildings are for a non-profit educational business. The Administrative Code requires an in-plant inspection be preformed at the manufacturing facility. Personnel from the office of the state building commissioners office shall perform the in-plant inspection.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☐ 2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: All preliminary inspections were performed and documented by a third party agency who would be sent to Indiana to perform the final inspection on the modules in their current location and can be witnessed by a representative of the Indiana State Fire marshals Office. If any defects are found shall be corrected and re-inspected before applying the Indiana Seal.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The modules were accidentally transported and placed on site. The hardship is the additional costs and potential damage to the structures. Re-shipping to Georgia and back greatly increases the chance for damage to structures and a loss of time for the purchaser gaining occupancy for use. The additional cost of sending a state of Indiana employee to Georgia to perform the inspection would also be a great expense and loss of time for the State staff that could be better used in Indiana. Other states and municipalities permit on-site inspections of modules.

