

Owner / Applicant Information

Ryan Hahn
Verus Partners, LLC
3209 WEST SMITH VALLEY ROAD, S

GREENWOOD IN 46142

Phone 3177216720

Email RHAHN@VERPAR.COM

Submitter Information

Christina Collester
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale Place

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email collester@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Andrew Churchill
JRA Architecture, LLC
7222 N. Shadeland Ave.

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178061060

Email bhicks@jra-arch.com

Project Information

Greenwood Business Center
Main Street

Greenwood IN 46142

County JOHNSON

Project Type New ☒ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2014 IBC 507.3

Conditions: The new S-1 occupancy will be constructed of type IIB construction and approximately 160,148 s.f. in area. The building will be permitted to be unlimited in area per section 507.3. However the side yards on a small portion of the very southwest corner of the building will be less than the required 60 feet (approximately 50 feet).

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The building is fully sprinklered.

The space between the new building and existing buildings exceeds 60 feet and is used for parking and access roads.

The building is of non-combustible construction.

NFPA 80 A, Recommended Practice for the Protection of Buildings from Exterior Fire Exposure section 5.6.3 state no exposure hazard exists from a properly sprinklered building for the hazard involved.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner needs to maximize the area of the building and access ways on the site to make the facility cost effective.