

Owner / Applicant Information

Susie Osborne
Pac-Van, Inc.
1850 KENTUCKY AVENUE

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46221

Phone 3174894795

Email SOSBORNE@PACVAN.COM

Submitter Information

Ralph Gerdes
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC
5510 S. East St., Suite E

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3177873750

Email Ralph@rgc-codes.com

Project Information

Clif Temporary Facilities
7575 Georgetown Road

Indianapolis IN 46227

County MARION

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2014 InBC, 1109.2

Conditions: New restrooms are not accessible due to one (1) step. Code requires all new restrooms to be accessible and on an accessible route.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Existing building has an accessible restroom within 500 feet travel distance.
Condition will be "temporary" until January 3, 2020.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Due to site / foundation conditions we have a single step to access the restrooms.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, Tables 602 & 705.8

Conditions: Existing building is Type IIB and attached prefabricated structure is Type VB. Non-rated exterior walls with unprotected openings are approximately six (6) inches apart. New structure needs to be 30 feet away from existing building.

This is a variance on Variance 19-01-53(b) which stated distance will be 5 feet 6 inches.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. Manual fire alarm system will be installed in new structure per request of local fire department.
2. Existing building is sprinklered.
3. New structure will be used temporarily until January 3, 2020.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Cost of moving structure and loss of use is the hardship.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, Table 2902.1

Conditions: New employee facility will not have a service sink. Code requires the building to have a service sink.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Existing building has a service sink.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Prefabricated unit did not come with a service sink. To add a sink at this time will be difficult and costly.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

GAR 12-6-2(f)

Conditions:

Structures will be in place until January 3, 2020.
Temporary structures are limited to 90 days. This request is to treat the structure as temporary, more than 90 days.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

Structures previously had Indiana labels for Type VB construction and E (educational) use.
Structural engineer has certified compliance with current Indiana structural requirements.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Structures are only intended for "temporary" use by employees while existing facility is being remodeled.

Variance Details

Code Name: Indiana Energy Conservation Code (675 IAC 19-4)

4.1.1.1, 5.4.3.4

Conditions: Prefabricated structure originally built in 2003, and modified recently by the Owner does not comply with the current Indiana Energy Conservation Code. Total building envelope is within 2% of compliance. Vestibule will not be provided. Treating the construction as newly site built the structure is required to comply with current codes.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Structure complies with 1995 CABO Model Energy Code. (At the time of original construction Indiana was following 1992 CABO code.) Also complies with 2006 International Energy Conservation Code.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Cost to remove siding and roofing to comply with current energy code makes use of the existing structures to costly.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, Table 1018.1

Conditions: Code requires corridors to be one (1) hour construction. Walls will not be one (1) hour construction.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. A manual fire alarm system will be installed, per request of local fire department.
2. Structure will only be used temporarily until January 3, 2020.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

v Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty)

Y

because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

 Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Walls are existing and do not have fire-rated doors. Cost and time to re-do the interior walls is the hardship.