| Owner / Applicant Information | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Jon Dispennett | | | | | | |
| 3834 S. EMERSON AVE. BLDG. A | | | | | | |
| INDIANAPOLIS IN 46203 | | | | | | |
| Phon∈ 3177885122 | | | | | | |
| Email JDISPENNETT@SKILLMAN.COM | | | | | | |
| Submitter Information | | | | | | |
| Donna Willis | | | | | | |
| thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street | | | | | | |
| 0003 Basii Sireet | | | | | | |
| Indianapolis IN | | | | | | |
| Phon∈ 3178417321 | | | | | | |
| Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com | | | | | | |
| Designer Information | | | | | | |
| Derick Donovan | | | | | | |
| Donovan & Donovan 427 Main Street | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Vincennes IN | | | | | | |
| Phon∈ 8128820415 | | | | | | |
| Email donovananddonovan@sbcglobal.net | | | | | | |
| Project Information | | | | | | |
| Hendricks County Bank Offices | | | | | | |
| 1 E. Main St. | | | | | | |
| Brownsburg IN 46112 | | | | | | |
| County HENDRICKS | | | | | | |
| Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy | | | | | | |
| Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled | | | | | | |
| IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued? | | | | | | |
| Violation Issued by: NA | | | | | | |
| Local Building Official | | | | | | |
| Phone: 3175389391 Email: jswalley@brownsburg.org | | | | | | |
| Local Fire Official Phone: 3175389391 Email: sjones@brownsburgfire.org | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Variance Deta | <u>ils</u> | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Code Name: | e: ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.26.8 | | | | | | | |
| Conditions: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04. | | | | | | | |
| DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). | | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04. | | | | | | | |
| DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: | | | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. | | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. | | | | | | | |
| Y | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements. | | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure | | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04 | | | | | | | |

| Code Name: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5 | | | | | | |
| Conditions: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04. | | | | | | |
| DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: | | | | | | | |
| | 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04. | | | | | | |
| DEMONS | TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. | | | | | | |
| Y | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements. | | | | | | |
| | Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure | | | | | | |
| Facts: | ASME A17.1 2007 | | | | | | |
| | This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. | | | | | | |

Variance Details