Owner / Applicant Information						
Jon Dispennett						
3834 S. EMERSON AVE. BLDG. A						
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46203						
Phon∈ 3177885122						
Email JDISPENNETT@SKILLMAN.COM						
Submitter Information						
Donna Willis						
thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street						
0003 Basii Sireet						
Indianapolis IN						
Phon∈ 3178417321						
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com						
Designer Information						
Derick Donovan						
Donovan & Donovan 427 Main Street						
Vincennes IN						
Phon∈ 8128820415						
Email donovananddonovan@sbcglobal.net						
Project Information						
Hendricks County Bank Offices						
1 E. Main St.						
Brownsburg IN 46112						
County HENDRICKS						
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 3175389391 Email: jswalley@brownsburg.org						
Local Fire Official Phone: 3175389391 Email: sjones@brownsburgfire.org						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>							
Code Name:	: ASME A17.1 2007							
	3.26.8							
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04.							
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:								
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w							
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).							
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007								
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04.							
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:								
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.							
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure							
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04							

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance 14-05-04.						
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Y	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.						

Variance Details